





#### CHRISTOPHER S. ELMENDORF

Professor of Law UC Davis School of Law Office: (530) 752-5756 Cell: (415) 385-5781

E-mail: cselmendorf@ucdavis.edu

#### **DOUGLAS M. SPENCER**

Jurisprudence and Social Policy Ph.D. Program UC Berkeley School of Law Office: (510) 529-5709 Cell: (415) 335-9698

E-mail: dspencer@berkeley.edu

### Are Ballot Titles Biased? Partisanship and Ideology in California's Supervision of Direct Democracy

Thank you for choosing to participate in this exciting study of ballot initiatives. We are relying on you to help us identify whether the language used to describe ballot initiatives is biased in a way that may affect how people vote on it. You will be asked to read 3 ballot initiatives, all of which are included in this pamphlet. After you read each measure, you will be asked to answer six questions about the language on an online survey. We anticipate that this exercise will take you approximately 30 minutes to complete. The online survey will not permit you to save part of your answers and then return later to complete the session. Please begin only when you have enough time to complete the task in one sitting.

To get started, please follow these steps:

- 1. Send an e-mail to with the subject "Ballot initiative study" and the following information:
  - a. Your name
  - b. Your university
  - c. The name of the professor in whose class you heard about this project.
- 2. Within 24 hours you will receive an e-mail (same subject line) with a link to the online survey.
- 3. Before you click on the link to launch the online survey, read the instructions that begin on the next page of this pamphlet and follow the directions.

If you have any questions, or encounter any problems during the session, please do not hesitate to contact either of the study's Principal Investigators on their cell phones: Professor Chris Elmendorf at or Doug Spencer at Ord Doug

Thank you again very much for your participation. Your work has very important implications for the future of direct democracy and we hope that you find satisfaction in being part of this study.

### Instructions

"Ballot initiatives" are citizen-proposed reforms that become law if approved by a majority of voters. They are described on the ballot with a title and a short written summary of their principal provisions and effects. In this study, we are collecting information about whether such titles and descriptions are perceived to be biased.

You will be asked to evaluate 3 ballot initiatives. For each ballot initiative, you will first carefully read a neutral, 1-2 page description of the measure and its likely effects, prepared by a disinterested expert (and included in this pamphlet). You will then read a short title and summary of the measure on the online survey. The short title and summary may or may not be the actual title and summary that appeared on the ballot, and it may or may not have been prepared by a neutral and disinterested person. We want to know whether you think the title/summary accurately and fairly describe the measure, or whether you think the title/summary is biased in some way.

The online survey will ask you to detect the presence or absence of the following types of bias:

**Prejudicial language**. Does the title/summary use colorful or symbolic language, creating a risk that some voters who know little about the measure will end up voting for or against it because of their emotional response to the colorful or symbolic language?

**Argumentative**. Does the title/summary make an argument for or against the proposed measure?

**Selective information**. Does the title and summary selectively exclude or include information about the measure in a way that may cause some voters who know little about the measure to vote differently than they would have voted if they had carefully read the long description prepared by the neutral expert?

**Other (please describe).** Is the title/summary otherwise biased for or against adoption of the proposed measure?

This exercise requires careful reading of both the long description and the title/summary. It will probably take you about 10 minutes (maybe more, maybe less) to read the materials and answer the questions for each of the three ballot measures. We encourage you to underline, highlight, and take notes on the disinterested expert's summary as you read it, and to refer back to your notes and underlining as you answer the online survey questions.

Some of the descriptions are less than one page and easy to understand. Others are longer or more complicated, and will require careful reading and re-reading before you fully understand them. Again, please read carefully. To be able to assess bias or its absence in the title/summary, you must first develop a firm understanding of the substance of the proposed reforms.

When you are ready to begin, turn the page. You will see a description for a ballot measure. Please follow the directions.



# RESPONDENTS ARE GIVEN A BOOKLET OF THREE RANDOMLY ASSIGNED MEASURES TO READ

THE ONLINE INTERFACE FOLLOWS.
(BOOKLETS ARE IN A DIFFERENT FILE)

(Individual screen shots are separated by '#######")

#### **Informed Consent Form**

Thank you for your participation in this study of bias in the language of ballot initiatives. You have been provided with information about three ballot measures, and you will answer a set of questions about each measure. We will also ask you some questions about your background at the end.

#### **Costs and Benefits**

There is no cost to you beyond the time and effort required to complete the survey, which we anticipate will take approximately 40 minutes to complete.

If you are taking this survey in connection with a course, you may receive extra credit for your participation (if your professor has so informed you).

If you are taking this survey in the Berkeley Xlab, you will receive \$10 for your participation.

We also hope that you find satisfaction by participating in a social science research study and that you learn something about the data-collection process, as well as about issues at the intersection of law and politics.

#### Confidentiality

You will not be individually identified and your responses will be used for statistical purposes only.

#### **Participation**

Your response to this survey, or any individual question on the survey, is completely voluntary. You may refuse to participate without penalty. You may skip any questions you do not want to answer. If you decide to participate, you may withdraw at any time without penalty and without loss of any benefits to which you are otherwise entitled.

#### Contact

No thanks.

If you have questions about the study or procedures, you may contact: Professor Chris Elmendorf, U.C. Davis School of Law, 530.752.5756, email: cselmendorf@ucdavis.edu.

If you feel you have not been treated according to the descriptions on this form, or your rights as a participant in research have not been honored during the course of this project, you may contact the University of California IRB Administration at The CTSC Building, University of California, 2921 Stockton Blvd, Ste. 1400, Rm 1429, Sacramento, CA. Their phone number is 916.703.9151.

I have read and understood the	above consent form and desire of my own free will to
participate in this study.	,
O Lagree.	

Please select the 4-digit code of the FIRST ballot measure in your pamphlet (the code printed at the top and bottom of the page(s) containing the description of the measure).



Next, you will see a proposed title and summary of the FIRST ballot measure in your pamphlet. The title and summary may or may not be the actual title and summary that appeared on the ballot, and it may or may not have been prepared by a neutral and disinterested person. We want to know whether you think the title and summary accurately and fairly describe the measure, or whether you think the title and summary are biased in some way.

##########

#### **TITLE & SUMMARY**

IMPOSES NEW TWO-THIRDS VOTER APPROVAL REQUIREMENT FOR LOCAL PUBLIC ELECTRICITY PROVIDERS. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Requires two-thirds voter approval before local governments provide electricity service to new customers or establish a community choice electricity program using public funds or bonds.

1. Does the ballot title/summary above use prejudicial (colorful or symbolic) language, creating a risk that some voters who know little about the measure will end up voting for or against it because of their emotional response to the colorful/symbolic language?
O Yes

##########

### TITLE & SUMMARY (Same as above)

2.	Does the ballot title/summary above make an argument either in favor or	against -
the	e proposed measure?	

$\Theta$	Yes
$\Theta$	No

O No

## TITLE & SUMMARY (Same as above)

3. Relative to the long description of the measure in your pamphlet, does the ballot title/summary above selectively include or exclude information about the measure in a way that may cause some voters who know little about the measure to vote differently than they would have voted if they had read the long description prepared by the neutral expert?					
O Yes O No					
#########					
	TITLE & SUMMARY (Same as above)				
4. Is the ballot ti measure?	tle/summary ab	ove otherwise bias	sed for or against t	he proposed	
O Yes O No					
#########					
5. Based on the long description of the measure you read in the pamphlet, how would you vote on this measure?					
	Definitely vote NO	Probably vote NO	Probably vote YES	Definitely vote YES	
I would:	0	0	0	0	
##########					
STOP					

STOP!

Before you continue, read the description of the SECOND measure in your pamphlet. When you are finished reading, please click "Next."

THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS ARE ABOUT YOU AND YOUR BELIEFS, RATHER THAN THE BALLOT MEASURES YOU JUST READ.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a...

Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
People have different ideas about government. These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas.							
0	uch of the time Just about alw Most of the tin Only some of	/ays ne	you can trust go	vernment to o	do what is right?		
B. Would you say government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of all people?							
_	A few big inter For the benefi						
	think that peo or don't waste			of money we	pay in taxes, wa	ste	
0	Waste a lot of Waste some of Don't waste ve	of it					
benefit a fe states with put on the	ew big interests the ballot initian ballot if the pro	s looking out fo ative, measure oponents gathe	or themselves or es proposed by i	that they be ndividual citiz m a certain n	eess pretty much nefit all people? ( eens or groups ar number of register ote at the next	e	
0	A few big inter						

FINALLY, WE WOULD ALSO LIKE YOUR OPINIONS ABOUT THE FOLLOWING (you are almost done): E. Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people? Most people can be trusted Can't be too careful F. Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves? Try to be helpful Just look out for themselves Depends G. Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you if they got a chance or would they try to be fair? Would take advantage of you Would try to be fair Depends H. How often do you lend money to your friends? More than once a week About once a week About once a month Once a year or less I. How often do you lend personal possessions to your friends (e.g., CDs, clothes, bicycle, etc.)? More than once a week About once a week About once a month Once a year or less J. How often do you intentionally leave the front door to your house/apartment unlocked when nobody is home? More than once a week About once a week O About once a month Once a year or less

questions.		ELL US A	LITTLE BIT A	BOUT TOURSE	LF. THESE are the	t IIIIai 4
What Univ	ersity do yo	ou attend?				
0	UC Berkel Brigham Y UC Davis Utah Valle	oung Univ	·			
Did you ta	ke this surv	ey in the l	Berkeley Xlab?	,		
_	Yes No					
Please no	te your edu	cational st	tatus:			
_	Undergrad Law stude Non-law g	nt				
When it co	omes to poli	itics, do yo	ou usually think	of yourself as		
Extremely liberal	Liberal	Slightly liberal	Moderate, Middle of Road	Slightly conservative	Conservative	Extremely conservative
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			est reflects you r college entra		Critical Reading"	(SAT) or
	<u>SAT</u>		<u>ACT</u>			
0	700-800		31-36			
0	650-699		28-30			
0	600-649		25-27			
0	550-599		21-24			
0	Below 5	50	Below 21			
0	I don't kr	now or dor	n't remember n	ny score		